arXiv:1605.00226v2 [math.OA] 20 May 2016

TWO MINIMAL UNIQUE ERGODIC DIFFEOMORPHISMS ON A MANIFOLDS AND THEIR SMOOTH CROSSED PRODUCT ALGEBRAS

HONGZHI LIU
EMAIL: 1063733099@QQ.COM

Abstract. In this article we construct two minimal unique ergodic diffeomorphisms α and β on $S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8$. We will show that $C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ and $C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}$ are equivalent to each other, while $C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ and $C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}$ are not.

Keyword: smooth crossed products, cyclic cohomology.
MSC: 46L80, 46L87.

1. introduction

Isomorphism between two irrational rotation algebras implies flip equivalence between their corresponding irrational rotation transformations ([15], [16]). Giordano, Putnam and Skau have given a classification of dynamical systems on Cantor set based on C^*-crossed product algebras ([6]).

However, different dynamical systems may give equivalent C^*-crossed product algebras (see [13], [14] for examples). It is interesting to investigate smooth crossed product algebra in these cases. Let g and h be minimal unique ergodic diffeomorphisms of S^{2m+1} and S^{2n+1} respectively, with $m, n \geq 1$. In [12], N. C. Phillips proved that $C(S^{2m+1}) \rtimes_g \mathbb{Z} \cong C(S^{2n+1}) \rtimes_h \mathbb{Z}$. In [9], the author proved that $C^\infty(S^{2m+1}) \rtimes_g \mathbb{Z} \ncong C^\infty(S^{2n+1}) \rtimes_h \mathbb{Z}$ by checking the grading structure of cyclic cohomology. People may argue that this is too obvious since these two diffeomorphisms are of different manifolds. In this article we construct two diffeomorphisms of a same manifold giving same C^*-crossed product algebra and different smooth crossed product algebras.

We introduce several notions and theories important for our construction in the next section. In the third section we give the construction (Theorem 3.1) of minimal unique ergodic diffeomorphisms α and β of $C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)$. The fourth and fifth section are devoted to computation of K-theory and cyclic cohomology. These computation together show that

$$\begin{aligned} C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z} &\cong C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}, \\ C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z} &\ncong C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last section we prove Theorem 3.1.

2. Preliminary

Let M be a finite dimensional compact manifold. Choose finitely many vector fields X_1, X_2, ..., X_n on M which can span the tangent space at any point (n is not necessarily equal to the dimension of M). Define seminorms $\| \bullet \|_n$:

$$\|f\|_n = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_n \leq n} \|X_{k_n} X_{k_{n-1}} \ldots X_{k_1} f\|_\infty, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\}, f \in C^\infty(M).$$

Supported by NNSF of China (11201171).

Let α be a minimal unique ergodic diffeomorphism of M. Let $C^\infty(M)_\alpha[u,u^{-1}]$ be the algebraic crossed product of $C^\infty(M)$ by $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\|\alpha^t\|_i$ be the operator seminorms defined by $\| \bullet \|_i$ on $C^\infty(M)$, i.e.

$$\|\alpha^t\|_i \triangleq \sup_{f\in C^\infty(M),\|f\|_i=1} \|\alpha^t(f)\|_i.$$

These seminorms defines topology $\mathcal{T}$ on $C^\infty(M)$.

Define a sequence of maps

$$\rho_k : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}^+, k = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

by $\rho_k(n) = \sup_{i\leqslant k}(\sum_{t=-n}^{n} \|\alpha^t\|_i)^k$.

Endow $C^\infty(M)_\alpha[u,u^{-1}]$ with the topology $\mathcal{T}$ defined by the following seminorms

$$\|\sum_n f_n u^n\|_k = \sup_n \rho_k(n)\|f_n\|_k, f_n \in C^\infty(M).$$

This topology does not depend on the choice of X_1, X_2, ..., X_n. Then the completion of $C^\infty(M)_\alpha[u,u^{-1}]$ is the smooth crossed product algebra $C^\infty(M) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$. This is a locally convex topology algebra.

Alain Connes defines cyclic cohomology in [1]. For locally convex topology algebra $\mathcal{A}$, let $HC^i(\mathcal{A})$ be the cyclic cohomology. There are two inductive limits

$$HC^0(\mathbb{C}) \ldots \xrightarrow{S} HC^{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{S} HC^{2n+2}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{S} \ldots,$$

$$HC^1(\mathbb{C}) \ldots \xrightarrow{S} HC^{2n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{S} HC^{2n+3}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{S} \ldots.$$

The limit groups are the so called periodic cyclic cohomology

$$HP^i(\mathcal{A}) \triangleq \lim_{\to} HC^{2n+i}(\mathcal{A}), i = 0, 1.$$

Let $S(HC^*(\mathcal{A})) \subset HP^*(\mathcal{A})$ be the image of $HC^*(\mathcal{A})$ in $HP^*(\mathcal{A})$. Groups $S(HC^n(\mathcal{A}))/S(HC^{n-2}(\mathcal{A}))$ are the grading structure of cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$.

Grading groups can be computed as $E^n_\infty(\mathcal{A})$ (see [1] and [10], or [9] for details). These groups are also called as deRham homology of $\mathcal{A}$ [11].

Let us recall some facts about minimal and unique ergodic diffeomorphism.

Proposition 2.1 ([4]). *Let M be a compact manifold, G be a group acting on M, then the following two conditions are equivalent:*
1) *G action is minimal.*
2) *There is a finite subsets $\{g_1, \ldots, g_n\} \subset G$, such that for any non-empty open sets U in M, there is $M = \cup_{i=1}^n g_i(U)$.*

Proposition 2.2 ([5]). *Let M be a compact manifold, f be a diffeomorphism on M. Then the followings are equivalent:*
1) *f is unique ergodic.*
2) *For any $\phi \in C(M)$, $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi \circ f^i$ converge uniformly.*

Let m be the set of minimal diffeomorphisms on a compact manifold M, u_e be the set of unique ergodic on M. Let $D(M)$ be the set of all the diffeomorphisms on M. Endow this set with the topology $\mathcal{T}$.

For any open set U, $\phi \in C(M)$, $\epsilon > 0$, define open sets $\iota(U) \in D(M)$ and $\iota(\phi,\epsilon) \in D(M)$ as

$$\iota(U) = \{f \in D(M) | \exists k \in \mathbb{N}, s.t. U \cup \ldots f^k(U) = M\},$$

$$\iota(\phi,\epsilon) = \{f \in D(M) | \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \mathbb{R}, s.t. \| \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi \circ f^i - r\| < \epsilon\}.$$

Let $(U_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a topological base of topology $\mathcal{T}$. $\{\phi_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ are dense in $C(M)$. By Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, we have

$$m = \cap_i \iota(U_i),$$

$$u_e = \cap_l \cap_{k\geq 1} \iota(\phi_l, 1/k),$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. The construction

Recall that $S^1 = \{\|a_1\| = 1 | a_1 \in \mathbb{C}\}$, $S^3 = \{\|a_2\| + \|a_3\| = 1 | a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}\}$. There exist a free S^1 actions on S^3 defined by

$$\mathcal{R}(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (a_1 a_2, a_1 a_3)$$

is a free S^1 action. On manifold $S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8$, we can also define a free S^1 action as $R \otimes Id \otimes Id$, which will be denoted by R in the following.

Let $\mathcal{R}_t(x)$ be $\mathcal{R}(t, x)$, for any $t \in S^1$ and $x \in S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8$. Let $\mathcal{P}_6$ and $\mathcal{P}_8$ be the antipode map on S^6 and S^8 respectively. Consider two sets of diffeomorphisms of $S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8$

$$\Omega(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{P}_6) = \{g \circ \mathcal{R}_t \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ g^{-1} | t \in S^1, g \in D(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)\},$$

$$\Omega(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{P}_8) = \{g \circ \mathcal{R}_t \circ \mathcal{P}_8 \circ g^{-1} | t \in S^1, g \in D(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)\}.$$

We claim

Theorem 3.1. *There are minimal unique ergodic diffeomorphisms in both $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{P}_6)}$ and $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{P}_8)}$.*

We will postpone our proof to the last section and show what this theorem implies first. In the following, α and β are minimal unique ergodic diffeomorphisms in $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{P}_6)}$ and $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{P}_8)}$ respectively.

4. Classify C^*-algebras

Recall the classification theory in [18]

Theorem 4.1 ([18])**.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the class of C^*-algebras having the following properties:*

(1) *every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ has the form $C(M) \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}$ for some infinite, compact, finite dimensional, metrizable space and minimal homeomorphism $\gamma : M \to M$.*

(2) *the projections of every $A \in \mathcal{C}$ separate traces.*

If $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ and there is a graded ordered isomorphism $\phi : K_*(A) \to K_*(B)$, then there is a $*$-isomorphism $\Phi : A \to B$ which induces ϕ.

The second condition can be removed in case of unique ergodicity. Let's compute graded K theory of $C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ and $C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}$. Note that there are a sequence of $g_n, h_n \in D(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8), t_n, s_n \in S^1$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n \mathcal{R}_{t_n} \mathcal{P}_6 g_n^{-1} = \alpha, \lim_{n\to\infty} h_n \mathcal{R}_{s_n} \mathcal{P}_8 h_n^{-1} = \beta.$$

First, we compute K_0 and K_1 group of $C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$. Recall the Pimsner-voiculescu six exact sequence ([15]):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
K_0(C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & K_1(C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)) \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle 1-\alpha} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1-\alpha} \\
K_0(C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)) & \longleftarrow & K_1(C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) & \longleftarrow & K_1(C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8))
\end{array}$$

The K theory of $C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)) &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \\ K_1(C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)) &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned}$$

α induces K-theoretic map on both K_0 and K_1 as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is immediately to see that

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \\ K_1(C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $H^1(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) = 0$.

Theorem 4.2 (Corollary 3, [3])**.** *Let M be a compact smooth manifold, $H^1(M) = 0$, ϕ a minimal diffeomorphism of M, then $C(M) \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}$ is a simple C^*-algebras without nontrivial idempotent.*

Alain Connes actually had proven that for C^*-algebra mentioned in Theorem 4.2, the range of traces is $\mathbb{Z}$. C^*-algebra A satisfies K-theoretic version of Blackadar's Second Fundermental Comparability question if for any $\eta \in K_0(A)$, $\tau(\eta) > 0$ for any trace τ implies that there is a projection $P \in A$ such that $[P] = \eta$. N. C. Phillips proved that $C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies K-theoretic version of Blackadar's Second Fundermental Comparability question ([12]). Hence we can conclude that $K_0(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_+ \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. No difference would be made if one substitutes α by β.

Theorem 4.1 then implies that

$$C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z} \cong C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}.$$

5. cyclic cohomology

We prove that $C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z} \ncong C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}$ in this section. For this purpose we will compute the grading structure of their periodical cyclic cohomology.

Let M be a compact manifold and γ a diffeomorphism of it. Recall Nest's six exact sequence:

Theorem 5.1 ([10])**.**

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} HP^{ev}(C^\infty(M)) & \longrightarrow & HP^{od}(C^\infty(M)) \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & HP^{od}(C^\infty(M)) \\ {\scriptstyle 1-\gamma}\uparrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1-\gamma} \\ HP^{ev}(C^\infty(M)) & \longleftarrow & HP^{ev}(C^\infty(M) \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}) & \longleftarrow & HP^{od}(C^\infty(M)) \end{array}.$$

Let $H_*(M)$ be the deRham homology of M.

Theorem 5.2 ([1])**.**

$$HP^{ev}(M) \cong H_0(M) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{2n} \oplus \ldots,$$

$$HP^{ev}(M) \cong H_1(M) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{2n+1} \oplus \ldots,$$

By basic deRham homology and cohomology theory, for a m dimensional manifold, we have $H_n \cong H^{m-n}$.

Now we have got all the recipe prepared to compute the periodic cyclic cohomology. For α, it induces maps α_* between the H_* as follows:

$$\alpha_0 = 1, \alpha_6 = -1, \alpha_8 = 1, \alpha_{14} = -1,$$
$$\alpha_3 = 1, \alpha_9 = -1, \alpha_{11} = 1, \alpha_{17} = -1,$$

while β_* are

$$\beta_0 = 1, \beta_6 = 1, \beta = -1, \beta_{14} = -1,$$
$$\beta_3 = 1, \beta_9 = 1, \beta_{11} = -1, \beta_{17} = -1.$$

Hence the $HP^*(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8))_\alpha \mathbb{Z})$ and $HP^*(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z})$ can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
HP^{od}(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) &= \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, \\
HP^{ev}(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) &= \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, \\
HP^{od}(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}) &= \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}, \\
HP^{ev}(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}) &= \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us recall how to compute groups E^n_∞. Let Ψ_n be the space of n-th deRham currents of M, ∂ be the usual boundary map,

$$\begin{aligned}
H^n_{eq}(M, \gamma) &\triangleq \textit{homology group of } (Ker(1-\gamma)|\Psi_n, \partial), \\
H^n_{coeq}(M, \gamma) &\triangleq \textit{homology group of } (Coker(1-\gamma)|\Psi_n, \partial).
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.3 ([10]). $E^n_\infty(C^\infty(M) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) = H^n_{eq}(M, \gamma) \oplus H^{n-1}_{coeq}(M, \gamma)$.

Therefore computation of the grading structure can be reduced to computation of $H^n_{eq}(M, \gamma)$ and $H^n_{coeq}(M, \gamma)$.

Proposition 5.1.

$$H^0_{coeq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta) = \mathbb{C}, H^6_{coeq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta) = \mathbb{C},$$
$$H^3_{eq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta) = \mathbb{C}, H^9_{eq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta) = \mathbb{C},$$

with all the other $H^*_{eq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta)$ *and* $H^*_{coeq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta)$ *nothing but* $\{0\}$. *Further more, we can conclude that*

$$\begin{cases} E^i_\infty(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{C}, & i = 1, 3, 7, 9 \\ E^i_\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}) \cong \{0\}, & i = \textit{ else}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In this proof, we denote the volume form on S^3, S^6, S^8 by $dvol_3$, $dvol_6$, $dvol_8$ respectively.
(1) $H^0_{coeq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta)$. $dvol_3 \wedge dvol_6 \wedge dvol_8$ defines an element τ in Ψ_0. For any $f \in C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)$,

$$\tau(f) \triangleq \int_{S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8} f dvol_3 \wedge dvol_6 \wedge dvol_8.$$

By stokes theorem, $\partial\tau = 0$. However, there are no $\tau_1 \in \Psi_0$ and $\tau_0 \in \Psi_0$ such that

$$\tau = \partial\tau_1 + (1-\beta)\tau_0.$$

In fact, for $f \in C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8), f \equiv 1$, $\tau(f) = vol(S^3)vol(S^6)vol(S^8) \neq 0$, but $\partial\tau_1(f) + (1-\beta)\tau_0(f) = 0$. Hence $H^0_{coeq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta)$ contains at least one direct summand of $\mathbb{C}$.
(2) $H^3_{eq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta)$. $dvol_6 \times dvol_8$ defines an element τ in Ψ_3 as follows, for any 3-form $\omega \in \Lambda^3$,

$$\tau(\omega) = \int_{S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8} \omega \wedge dvol_6 \wedge dvol_8.$$

τ will never be a boundary since $\tau(dvol_3) = vol(S^3)vol(S^6)vol(S^8) \neq 0$. However we have $\partial(\tau) = 0$. In fact, one need only to concern the boundary $\omega \in \partial\Lambda^2_{S^3}$. This implies that $H^3_{eq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta)$ contains at least one direct summand of $\mathbb{C}$.
(3) By the same argument as shown in (2) we obtain that $H^9_{eq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta)$ contains at least one direct summand of $\mathbb{C}$, which is generated by $dvol_8$.
(4) $H^6_{coeq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta)$. Consider the element τ defined by

$$\tau(\omega) = \int_{S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8} \omega \wedge dvol_3 \wedge dvol_8, \forall \omega \in \wedge^6.$$

As we have shown, $\partial(\tau) = 0$. We claim that there are no $\tau_7 \in \Psi_7$ and $\tau_6 \in \Psi_6$ such that

$$\tau = \partial\tau_7 + (1 - \beta)\tau_6.$$

Recall that there is a sequence of $g_n \in D(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)$, and $s_n \in S^1$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n \mathcal{R}_{s_n} \mathcal{P}_8 g_n^{-1} = \beta.$$

For any n, g_n induce either I or $-I$ on $H^6(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that for all n, g_n induces identity map on $H^6(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)$. Assume that there exist $\tau_7 \in \Psi_7$ and $\tau_6 \in \Psi_6$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - \beta)\tau_6(dvol_6 - g_n dvol_6) \\ =&\tau(dvol_6 - g_n dvol_6) - \partial\tau_7(dvol_6 - g_n dvol_6) \\ =&0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $g_n \mathcal{R}_{s_n} \mathcal{P}_8 g_n^{-1}$ converge to α uniformly,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau((1 - \beta)dvol_6) &= \tau((1 - \beta)g_n dvol_6) \\ &= \tau(\lim_{n\to\infty}(1 - g_n \mathcal{R}_{s_n} \mathcal{P}_8 g_n^{-1})g_n dvol_6) \\ &= \tau(\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n(1 - \mathcal{R}_{s_n} \mathcal{P}_8)dvol_6) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\partial\tau_7(dvol_6) + (1 - \beta)\tau_6(dvol_6) = 0$. However, $\tau(dvol_6)$ is not 0. Hence there contains at least one direct summand of $\mathbb{C}$ in $H^6_{coeq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \beta)$. In summary, each of $E^1_\infty(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z})$, $E^3_\infty(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z})$, $E^7_\infty(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z})$,$E^9_\infty(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z})$ contains at least one direct summand of $\mathbb{C}$. Recall that

$$HP^{od}(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C},$$

one can see

$$\begin{cases} E^i_\infty(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{C}, & i = 1, 3, 7, 9 \\ E^i_\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}) \cong \{0\}, & i = \ else. \end{cases}$$

□

In the same reason we have

Proposition 5.2.

$$H^0_{coeq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \alpha) = \mathbb{C}, H^8_{coeq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \alpha) = \mathbb{C},$$

$$H^3_{eq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \alpha) = \mathbb{C}, H^{11}_{eq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \alpha) = \mathbb{C},$$

with all the other $H^*_{eq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \alpha)$ *and* $H^*_{coeq}(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8, \alpha)$ *nothing but* $\{0\}$. *The grading structure (deRham homology) are then*

$$\begin{cases} E^i_\infty(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{C}, & i = 1, 3, 9, 11 \\ E^i_\infty(C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \cong \{0\}, & i = \ else. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5.1 and 5.2 implies

Corollary 5.1. *The smooth crossed product algebra defined by α is not isomorphism to the one defined by β, i.e.*

$$C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z} \ncong C^\infty(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8) \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 5.1. *As well known, one can not define proper grading structure for K-theory of operator algebras (see [9] for an example constructed by Elliott and Gong). Sometimes the absence of grading structure account for the reason why different diffeomorphisms can give the same C^*-algebras. In the meantime, smooth algebras are still different since their cyclic cohomology bear different grading structure.*

6. the proof of Theorem 3.1

The next two propositions are obtained in [4].

Proposition 6.1 (corollary 4.11, [4])**.** *Let M be a finite dimensional compact manifold, there exists a free S^1 action on M. Let U be a non-empty open set of M. Then there exist a diffeomorphism H on M, isotopic to identity, such that $H^{-1}(U)$ cut every orbit of S^1 action.*

Proposition 6.2 (lemma 6.3, [4])**.** *Let M be a finite dimensional compact manifold, there is a free S^1 action on M. Let U be a non-empty open set of M. let ϵ be a strictly positive real number. Then there is a diffeomorphism H on M, isotopy to identity, such that for any point $y \in M$, we have*

$$m\{t \in S^1 | \mathcal{R}_t(y) \notin H^{-1}(U)\} < \epsilon,$$

where m is the Lesbegue measure on S^1.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1. It is sufficient to prove that there are minimal unique ergodic diffeomorphisms in $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{P}_6)}$. The S^1 free action, $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{P}_6$ are the one given in the first section. We prove two key lemmas first. In the following, we may represent an element in S^1 by its angle $\theta \in (0, 1]$.

Lemma 6.1. *Given $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, U a non-empty open set in $S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8$. Then there is a diffeomorphism H of M such that:*
1) $H \circ \mathcal{R}_{\frac{p}{q}} \circ H^{-1} = R_{\frac{p}{q}}, H \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ H^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_6$,
2) *For any $y \in S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8$, $H^{-1}(U)$ cut either the orbit under the free S^1 action of y or the one of $\mathcal{P}_6(y)$.*

Proof. Let $G = \{i\frac{p}{q}, i = 1, \ldots, q\}$ be the subgroup of S^1 generated by $\exp 2\pi i\frac{p}{q}$, $B = I, \mathcal{P}_6$ an order 2 group acting on $S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8$. Consider the manifold defined by $\pi : S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8 \to S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8/(G \times B)$. As implied by Proposition 6.1 , there is a diffeomorphism $\overline{H} : S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8/(G \times B) \to S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8/(G \times B)$, such that $\overline{H}^{-1}(\pi U)$ cut any orbit of S^1 on $S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8/(G \times B) = S^3/G \times \mathbb{RP}_6 \times S^8$. Choose the the lift H of $\overline{H}$ which keep the orientation. One can see H satisfies conditions 1) and 2). □

Lemma 6.2. *Given $\phi \in C(S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8)$, $\eta > 0$ and $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, we can choose a diffeomorphism and constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that:*
1) $H \circ \mathcal{R}_{\frac{p}{q}} \circ H^{-1} = R_{\frac{p}{q}}, H \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ H^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_6$,
2) *for all $y \in M$, $|\int_{S^1}(\phi \circ H \circ \mathcal{R}_t(y) + \phi \circ H \circ \mathcal{R}_t \circ \mathcal{P}_6(y))dt - c| < \eta$.*

Proof. Consider $\pi : S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8 \to S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8/G \times B$ as we have done. $\mathcal{R}_t$ naturally defines a diffeomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{R}_t}$ of $S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8/(G \times B)$ from . For ϕ, define

a function $\overline{\phi} = \frac{1}{q}\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}(\phi \circ \mathcal{R}_{\frac{i}{q}} + \phi \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ \mathcal{R}_{\frac{i}{q}})$. For any $x \in S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8$ and sufficiently small ϵ, consider the open set

$$U = \{\overline{x} \in S^3 \times S^6 \times S^8/(G \times B), |\overline{\phi}(\overline{x})| < \epsilon\}.$$

By Proposition 6.2 , there is a diffeomorphism $\overline{H}$ such that

$$m\{\theta \in S^1 | \mathcal{R}_t \pi(x) \notin \overline{H}^{-1}(U)\} < \epsilon,$$

thus we have

$$|\int_{S^1} \overline{\phi} \circ \overline{H} \circ \overline{\mathcal{R}_t}(\overline{x})dt| < \epsilon + \epsilon\|\phi\| < \eta.$$

ϕ is the lift of $\overline{\phi}$. ϕ satisfies condition 1) and 2). □

As shown in Proposition 2.1 and 2.2, the set of minimal unique ergodic diffeomorphisms $\mathcal{O}$ in $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{P}_6)}$ equals to $\cap_i \iota(U_i) \cap (\cap_i \cap_{k\geq 1} \iota(\phi_i, 1/k)) \cap \overline{\Omega(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{P}_6)}$. We will prove that this G_δ set is dense in $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{P}_6)}$. It would be sufficient to prove that $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{p}{q}}$ belongs to $\overline{\iota(U_i)}$ and $\overline{\iota(\phi_l, 1/k)}$ for any i, l and k.
1) For any U, H is a diffeomorphim in Lemma 6.1 . For any x, U cut either the set

$$H \circ \mathcal{R}_{S^1} \circ H^{-1}(x) \triangleq \{H \circ \mathcal{R}_t \circ H^{-1}(x), t \in [0, 1)\}$$

or

$$H \circ \mathcal{R}_{S^1} \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ H^{-1}(x) \triangleq \{H \circ \mathcal{R}_t \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ H^{-1}(x), t \in [0, 1)\}.$$

For any irrational number $\theta \in [0, 1)$, both sets $\{H \circ \mathcal{R}_{2n\theta} \circ H^{-1}(x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{H \circ \mathcal{R}_{(2n+1)\theta} \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ H^{-1}(x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are dense in $H \circ \mathcal{R}_{S^1} \circ H^{-1}(x)$ and $H \circ \mathcal{R}_{S^1} \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ H^{-1}(x)$ respectively. Thus $H \circ \mathcal{R}_\theta \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ H^{-1}$ belongs to $\iota(U)$ for any irrational number $\theta \in [0, 1)$. Since any $\frac{p}{q}$ can be approximated by a sequence of irrational numbers θ_n, there is $H \circ \mathcal{R}_{\theta_n} \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ H^{-1} \to H \circ \mathcal{R}_{\frac{p}{q}} \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ H^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{p}{q}} \circ \mathcal{P}_6$. Thus $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{p}{q}}$ belongs to $\overline{\iota(U)}$.
2) Given ϕ and $\epsilon > 0$, H is a diffeomorphism in Lemma 6.2. Since irrational rotation transformation is unique ergodic on S^1, for any x and any irrational number θ, there is

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi \circ \mathcal{R}_{i\theta}(x) = \int_{S^1} \phi \circ \mathcal{R}_t(x)dt.$$

Thus the following holds

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi \circ \mathcal{R}_{2i\theta}(x) = \int_{S^1} \phi \circ \mathcal{R}_t(x)dt.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi \circ \mathcal{R}_{(2i+1)\theta} \circ \mathcal{P}_6(x) &= \int_{S^1} \phi \circ \mathcal{R}_\theta \mathcal{R}_t \circ \mathcal{P}_6(x)dt \\ &= \int_{S^1} \phi \circ \mathcal{R}_t \circ \mathcal{P}_6(x)dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $H \circ \mathcal{R}_\theta \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ H^{-1}$ belongs to $\iota(\phi, \epsilon)$. Find a sequence of irrational number θ_n converge to $\frac{p}{q}$. Since $H \circ \mathcal{R}_{\theta_n} \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ H^{-1} \to H \circ \mathcal{R}_{\frac{p}{q}} \circ \mathcal{P}_6 \circ H^{-1} = \mathcal{R}_{\frac{p}{q}} \circ \mathcal{P}_6$, we have $\mathcal{R}_{\frac{p}{q}} \subset \overline{\iota(\phi, \epsilon)}$.

Now we have completed the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Acknowledgement

References

[1] A. Connes, Non-commutative differential geometry. Publications Mathematiques de l'IHES. 62 (1) (1985), 41-144.

[2] A. Connes, *Non-commutative Geometry*. Springer US, 1988.

[3] A. Connes, An analogue of the Thom isomorphism for crossed products of a C^* algebra by an action of $\mathbb{R}$. Advances in mathematics. 39 (1) (1981), 31-55.

[4] A. Fathi and M. R. Herman, Existence de diffÃ©omorphismes minimaux. AstÃ©risque. 49 (1977), 37-59.

[5] H. Furstenberg, Strict ergodicity and transformation of the torus, American Journal of Mathematics, 83 (4) (1961), 573-601.

[6] T. Giordano, I. F. Putnam and C. F. Skau, Topological orbit equivalence and C^*-crossed product. J. reine angew. Math. 469 (1995), 51-111.

[7] Q. Lin and N. C. Phillips, The structure of C^*-algebras of minimal diffeomorphisms. preprint.

[8] Q. Lin and N. C. Phillips, Direct limit decomposition for C^*-algebras of minimal diffeomorphisms. arXiv preprint math/0208086.

[9] H. Z. Liu, Smooth crossed products induced by minimal unique ergodic diffeomorphisms on odd spheres. arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.01758, 2016.

[10] R. Nest, Cyclic cohomology of crossed products with Z. Journal of functional analysis. 80 (2) (1988), 235-283.

[11] R. Nest, Cyclic cohomology of crossed products with Z, Journal of functional analysis, 80 (2) (1988), 235-283.

[12] N. C. Phillips, Cancellation and the stable rank for the direct limits of recursive sub homogeneous algebras. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society. 359 (10) (2007), 4625-4652.

[13] N. C. Phillips, Examples of different minimal diffeomorphisms giving the same C*-algebras. Israel Journal of Mathematics 160.1 (2007): 189-217.

[14] N. C. Phillips, When are crossed products by minimal diffeomorphisms isomorphic?. arXiv preprint math/0208087.

[15] M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, Imbedding the irrational rotation C^* algebra into an AF algebra. J. of operator theory. 4 (1980), 201-211.

[16] M. A. Rieffel, C^*-algebras associated with irrational rotations. Pacific J. Math. 93 (1981), 415-429.

[17] L. B. Schweitzer, Dense m-convex Frechet subalgefbras of operator algebra crossed products by Lie groups. International Journal of Mathematics. 4 (1993), 601-673.

[18] A. S. Toms and W. Winter, Minimal dynamics and K-theoretic rigidity: Elliott's conjecture. Geometric and Functional Analysis. 23 (1) (2013), 467-481.

[19] A. Windsor, Minimal but not uniquely ergodic diffeomorphisms. In *Smooth ergodic theory and its applications*, (Seattle, WA, 1999), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 69 (2001). pages 809-824.